



Masterprüfung, Frühjahrssemester 2026, Prof. Dr. Urte Scholz

Informationen zum Prüfungsablauf

Für eine erfolgreiche Prüfung müssen die Prüfungskandidatinnen und –kandidaten in der Lage sein, die angegebene Prüfungsliteratur in Hinblick auf die Theorien und Methoden kritisch zu diskutieren und mit Wissen aus dem Studium in Verbindung zu setzen. Ebenso sollen weiterführende Forschungsfragen und -designs und ggf. praktische Implikationen abgeleitet werden können.

Einige Leitfragen zum Umgang mit den Artikeln sind:

- Was ist der Beitrag des Artikels für das entsprechende Forschungsfeld?
- Was sind offene Fragen? Und wie könnten diese Fragen im Rahmen einer Studie bearbeitet werden?
- Was sind besondere Stärken der Arbeit?
- Was sind die Hauptkritikpunkte an den theoretischen Konzepten und / oder dem methodischen Vorgehen? Und wie könnte man diese Kritikpunkte auflösen?
- Welche theoretischen / methodischen / praktischen Implikationen haben die Ergebnisse des Artikels?
- Wo sehen Sie Verbindungen zwischen den verschiedenen Ansätzen, die in den einzelnen Artikeln dargestellt werden?

Ablauf: Die Studierenden können einen Artikel aus der Liste der Prüfungsliteratur als Einstiegsthema wählen und diesen in den ersten 5 Minuten vorstellen. In den restlichen 25 Minuten werden Fragen zu Artikeln der Prüfungsliteratur gestellt.

Prüfungsliteratur

Die Prüfungsliteratur besteht aus ausgewählten Artikeln verschiedener aktueller Gebiete und Debatten in der Sozial- und Gesundheitspsychologie.

Social Relationships and Health

Berkman, L. F., Glass, T., Brissette, I., & Seeman, T. E. (2000). From social integration to health: Durkheim in the new millennium. *Social Science & Medicine*, 51(6), 843–857. doi:10.1016/S0277-9536(00)00065-4

Berli, C., Villinger, K., Di Maio, S., Spliesgart, A., Stadler, G., Gawrilow, C., Bolger, N., Hankonen, N., Luszczynska, A., Rothman, A. J., Schneider, F., Simpson, J. A., Knoll, N., & Scholz, U. (2025). Compendium of dyadic behavior change techniques v2.0: results from a Delphi study. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 59(1), kaaf080. <https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kaaf080>

Burke, T. J., Young, V. J., & Duggan, A. (2022). Recognizing the blurred boundary between health-related support and control in close relationships. *Personal Relationships*, 29(4), 644–673. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pere.12445>

Cacioppo, J. T., & Cacioppo, S. (2014). Social relationships and health: The toxic effects of perceived social isolation. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 8(2), 58–72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12087>

Craddock, E., vanDellen, M. R., Novak, S. A., & Ranby, K. W. (2015). Influence in relationships: A meta-analysis on health-related social control. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 37(2), 118–130. doi:10.1080/01973533.2015.1011271

- Feeney, B. C., & Collins, N. L. (2015). A new look at social support: A theoretical perspective on thriving through relationships. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 19(2), 113–147. doi: 10.1177/1088868314544222
- Fitzsimons, G. M., Finkel, E. J., & vanDellen. (2015). Transactive goal dynamics. *Psychological Review*, 122(4), 648–673. doi:10.1037/a0039654
- Holt-Lunstad, J. (2023). National Health Guidelines for Social Connection: What Is the Evidence in Support and What Might the Guidelines Say? *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 10(1), 41–50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23727322221150204>
- Huelsnitz, C. O., Jones, R. E., Simpson, J. A., Joyal-Desmarais, K., Standen, E. C., Auster-Gussman, L. A., & Rothman, A. J. (2021). The Dyadic Health Influence Model. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 26(1), 3–34. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10888683211054897>
- Jackson, S. E., Steptoe, A., & Wardle, J. (2015). The influence of partner's behavior on health behavior change: the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *JAMA internal medicine*, 175(3), 385–392. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.7554
- Lewis, M. A., McBride, C. M., Pollak, K. I., Puleo, E., Butterfield, R. M., & Emmons, K. M. (2006). Understanding health behavior change among couples: An interdependence and communal coping approach. *Social Science & Medicine*, 62(6), 1369–1380. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2005.08.006
- Pietromonaco, P. R., & Collins, N. L. (2017). Interpersonal mechanisms linking close relationships to health. *American Psychologist*, 72(6), 531–542. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000129>
- Rafaeli, E., & Gleason, M. E. J. (2009). Skilled Support Within Intimate Relationships. *Journal of Family Theory & Review*, 1, 20–37. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-2589.2009.00003.x>

Theories of Health Behaviour Change and Health Behaviour Change Interventions

- Ajzen, I. (2015). The theory of planned behaviour is alive and well, and not ready to retire: A commentary on Sniehotta, Pesseau, and Araujo-Soares. *Health Psychology Review*, 9(2), 131–137. doi:10.1080/17437199.2014.883474
- Alcántara, C., Diaz, S. V., Cosenzo, L. G., Loucks, E. B., Penedo, F. J., & Williams, N. J. (2020). Social determinants as moderators of the effectiveness of health behavior change interventions: Scientific gaps and opportunities. *Health Psychology Review*, 14(1), 132–144. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2020.1718527>
- Dunton, G. F., Rothman, A. J., Leventhal, A. M., & Intille, S. S. (2021). How intensive longitudinal data can stimulate advances in health behavior maintenance theories and interventions. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*, 11(1), 281–286. <https://doi.org/10.1093/tbm/ibz165>
- Gardner, B., Rebar, A. L., Wit, S. de, & Lally, P. (2024). What is habit and how can it be used to change real-world behaviour? Narrowing the theory-reality gap. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 18(6), Article e12975. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12975>
- Hekler, E. B., Klasnja, P., Chevance, G., Golaszewski, N. M., Lewis, D., & Sim, I. (2019). Why we need a small data paradigm. *BMC Medicine*, 17(1), 133. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1366-x>
- Jekauc, D., Voelkle, M. C., Sniehotta, F. F., & Nigg, C. R. (2026). Beyond the cross-section: Rethinking the intention–behaviour gap through a conceptual and methodological lens. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 31(1), e70046. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.70046>
- Kok, G., Gottlieb, N. H., Peters, G.-J. Y., Mullen, P. D., Parcel, G. S., Ruiter, R. A.C., . . . Bartholomew, L. K. (2016). A taxonomy of behaviour change methods: An Intervention Mapping approach. *Health Psychology Review*, 10(3), 297–312. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2015.1077155>
- Marques, M. M., Wright, A. J., Corker, E., Johnston, M., West, R., Hastings, J., Zhang, L., & Michie, S. (2023). The Behaviour Change Technique Ontology: Transforming the Behaviour Change Technique Taxonomy v1 [version 1; peer review: 4 approved]. *Wellcome Open Research*, 8, 308. <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.19363.1>



- Nahum-Shani, I., Smith, S. N., Spring, B. J., Collins, L. M., Witkiewitz, K., Tewari, A., & Murphy, S. A. (2018). Just-in-Time Adaptive Interventions (JITAs) in Mobile Health: Key Components and Design Principles for Ongoing Health Behavior Support. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 52(6), 446–462. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-016-9830-8>
- Ogden, J. (2016) Celebrating variability and a call to limit systematisation: the example of the Behaviour Change Technique Taxonomy and the Behaviour Change Wheel. *Health Psychology Review*, 10, 245-250, DOI: 10.1080/17437199.2016.1190291
- Rothman, A. J., & Sheeran, P. (2020a). The operating conditions framework: Integrating mechanisms and moderators in health behavior interventions. *Health Psychology*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0001026>
- Sheeran, P., Pei, Y., & Rothman, A. J. (2025). Are we making progress? Testing whether interventions to promote key health behaviors improved over the past 30 years. *Social Science & Medicine*, 383, 118484. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2025.118484>
- Sniehotta, F. F., Pesseau, J., & Araujo Soares, V. (2014). Time to retire the theory of planned behaviour. *Health Psychology Review*, 8(1), 1–7. doi:10.1080/17437199.2013.869710

Time

- George, J. M., & Jones, G. R. (2000). The role of time in theory and theory building. *Journal of Management*. (26), 657–684. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-2063\(00\)00051-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-2063(00)00051-9)
- Millar, B. M. (2017). Clocking self-regulation: Why time of day matters for health psychology. *Health Psychology Review*, 11(4), 345–357. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2017.1316673>
- Scholz, U. (2019). It's time to think about time in health psychology. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*, 11, 173-186. DOI: 10.1111/aphw.12156